

Opinion in Chambers

NOTICE: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the preliminary print of the United States Reports. Readers are requested to notify the Reporter of Decisions, Supreme Court of the United States, Washington, D. C. 20543, of any typographical or other formal errors, in order that corrections may be made before the preliminary print goes to press.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 02A1042 (02–9753)

MARIA PRATO *v.* PAUL VALLAS ET AL.

ON APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

[June 9, 2003]

JUSTICE STEVENS, Circuit Justice.

Petitioner filed a petition for a writ of certiorari and a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this Court on December 20, 2002. On May 19, 2003, over my unpublished dissent, the Court issued an order denying petitioner leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and giving petitioner until June 9, 2003, to pay the docketing fees required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court. Petitioner now seeks an extension of time within which to comply with the May 19th order, explaining that she needs additional time to raise money to pay the docketing fee and printing costs. Having reviewed petitioner’s petition for a writ of certiorari, I am satisfied that there are no grounds upon which this Court would grant certiorari, and I therefore deny petitioner’s request for an extension of time.